

# Health and Safety Executive for Northern Ireland

Consultation on revised Approved Code of Practice (ACOP) – L122 Safety of Pressure Systems

# **Consultative Document**

June 2016

# Consultation on revised Approved Code of Practice (ACOP) – L122 Safety of Pressure Systems

Contents		Page
INTRODUC	TION	4
BACKGROU	JND	4
THE PROP	OSAL	7
COSTS ANI	D BENEFITS	7
EQUALITY	IMPACT	7
INVITATION	N TO COMMENT	7
Annexes		
ANNEX 1	EQUALITY IMPACT SCREENING DOCUMENT	9
ANNEX 2	LIST OF CONSULTEES	27

This Consultative Document is based on the Consultative Documents "Consultation to review HSE's Approved Codes of Practice" and "Consultation on Draft Approved Code of Practice - L122 Safety of Pressure Systems" issued by the Health and Safety Executive in Great Britain, whose assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

If you are reading this document on a computer screen and would prefer a printed version, it can be obtained on request. Furthermore, if you require a more accessible format an Executive Summary is available in Braille, large print, on disc or audiocassette, or in Irish, Ulster Scots and other languages of the minority ethnic communities in Northern Ireland. To obtain a summary in one of these formats, please contact David Beck at the address shown at paragraph 22.

#### INTRODUCTION

- This consultative document invites views on the revised Approved Code of Practice (ACOP) "Safety of Pressure Systems", drafted by the Health and Safety Executive in Great Britain (HSE), which the Health and Safety Executive for Northern Ireland (HSENI) proposes to approve for use in Northern Ireland. In these circumstances the current ACOP approval will be withdrawn.
- This consultation is undertaken in compliance with Article 18 of the Health and Safety at Work (Northern Ireland) Order 1978 which requires HSENI to consult on revisions to ACOPs prior to seeking the consent of the Department for the Economy to approve the revised ACOP for use in Northern Ireland.
- 3. ACOP L122 provides guidance on the Pressure Systems Safety Regulations 2000 (PSSR) and places duties on users of pressure equipment at work. The equivalent legislation in NI is the Pressure Systems Safety Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2004.
- The revised ACOP and associated guidance which is the subject of these proposals is available at the following location - <u>Safety of</u> pressure systems.

#### **BACKGROUND**

# The role and status of ACOPs and how they are used to help organisations comply with health and safety law.

- 5. ACOPs provide practical guidance on complying with the general duties of the Health and Safety at Work (Northern Ireland) Order 1978 (HSWO) or the requirements of goal-setting regulations. They are important tools for experienced health and safety audiences that explain the law and enable the control of more complex risks. They can also provide certainty for dutyholders by setting out preferred methods or standards to achieve compliance and by clarifying what is required by terms such as suitable, sufficient or adequate. ACOPs have been made available mainly for high risk activities where some precision is required in the approach to controlling the risks.
- 6. ACOPs are not law but do have a special legal status; if the advice in ACOP material is followed in relevant circumstances duty holders can be confident they are complying with the law. This is made clear in the front of each ACOP document by a statement that those who comply with the ACOP material will have done enough to comply with the law

on the specific issues addressed by the ACOP. Dutyholders may use alternative methods to those set out in an ACOP to comply with the law and this is also stated at the front of each ACOP. However, if the dutyholder is prosecuted for a breach of health and safety law, and it is proved that they have not followed the relevant provisions of the ACOP, then that element of the offence will be taken as proved unless they can show the court that they have complied with the law in some other way.

- 7. An ACOP can be an appropriate format for providing advice where:
- there are certain preferred or recommended methods to be used (or standards to be met) to achieve compliance; and
- the nature of the issue being addressed is such that in most cases dutyholders should be strongly encouraged to pursue those methods (or standards).
- 8. Guidance material also helps dutyholders comply with the law but differs from the authoritative advice of an ACOP by allowing dutyholders wider discretion to identify the options that are the best fit for the circumstances.

#### The Loftstedt review of health and safety

- 9. On 28 November 2011 Professor Ragnar Löfstedt published his independent review of health and safety legislation 'Reclaiming health and safety for all'. The review reported that overall a wide range of stakeholders supported the principles of ACOPs and saw them as a vital part of the system, forming a key link between goal setting legislation and guidance, though many also felt there was room for improvement.
- 10. In his report Professor Löftstedt recommended that HSE should review all of its ACOPS. The UK Government accepted this recommendation and an initial consultation was launched in June 2012 by HSE. That consultative document alongside an analysis of responses is available on the HSE website.

#### **Review of ACOPs**

11. The initial HSE consultation prompted very few responses in respect of this ACOP, compared to others. Although it is crucial for ensuring safe use of pressure systems, it is not particularly high profile outside its target audience, nor is it controversial.

- 12. The responses were positive about the ACOP and recommended that there should not be "change for the sake of change". The ACOP was regarded as fit for purpose and effective.
- 13. HSE subsequently issued a further public consultation setting out its detailed proposals for the revised ACOP L122 "Safety of Pressure Systems".
- 14. The main changes to the ACOP were as follows:
  - The decision tree/ flow chart on "Do the Regulations apply to me?" was moved to the front of the document from the back
  - The explanation of the interface between Pressure System Safety Regulations (PSSR) and the Pressure Equipment Regulations was moved from the Introduction to an Appendix
  - The list of equivalent values to 1 bar was moved to an Appendix from the Introduction
  - The paragraph on the legal background to PSSR and related legislation was removed as it is out of date
  - Additional guidance for the use of small pressure vessels in schools was added as Appendix 4
  - Appendix 2 on Major Health and Safety Legislation was removed and the legislation list from Appendix 2 was placed in the References section
  - The Further Reading section was updated and expanded.

In addition, the ACOP was updated and edited for clarity and brevity throughout.

- 15. The 2014 public consultation generated around 40 detailed responses, from all sectors of the economy including industry (50% of replies), health and safety consultants (30%), local and national government, charitable organisations, emergency services and trade unions.
- 16. Across the four questions that asked for agreement or disagreement with the actions taken there was 92% agreement with the changes made. There were many positive comments on the improved readability, the new appendix for small pressure vessels in schools, and the changes to the ACOP structure, especially on moving the "decision tree" (on whether or not PSSR applies) from the back of the document to the front. Others commented on the use of appendices to

provide details, for example, on different ways of measuring 'equivalent pressures'. Comments included: "The new version is easier to navigate", "more concise and has more direction", "you can quickly navigate to where you want to be" and "moving the decision tree could save a lot of unnecessary reading".

#### THE PROPOSAL

#### The revised ACOP

- 17. It is the policy of HSENI to maintain parity with the health and safety regime in Great Britain, where appropriate. The previous edition of the ACOP has been approved for use in Northern Ireland and consultees are invited to comment on the proposal, by HSENI, that the revised ACOP, should now be approved for use in Northern Ireland.
- 18. The revised ACOP is available at <u>Safety of pressure systems</u>. We are seeking views on the whole publication, i.e. the advice provided as ACOP and the associated guidance material. The ACOP explains the differences in presentation and status of the contents of the publication i.e. ACOP material and guidance.

#### **COSTS AND BENEFITS**

19. The ACOP has been revised to bring it up to date and to improve ease of understanding for readers. The legal duties it places on dutyholders remain unchanged. Dutyholders already complying with the law are unlikely to need to change what they are doing. The benefits arising from the revised ACOP will be predominantly realised by new users seeking advice on achieving compliance or those accessing it to refresh their knowledge.

#### **EQUALITY IMPACT**

20. The proposals have been screened for any possible impact on equality of opportunity affecting the groups listed in section 75 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998 and no adverse or differential aspects were identified. A copy of the screening document is at Annex 1.

#### **INVITATION TO COMMENT**

21. HSENI would welcome your comments on the proposals in this CD. Comments are particularly welcome on the assumptions relating to costs and benefits relevant to Northern Ireland, and the conclusion

that the proposals would have no adverse effect on any section 75 groups.

22. Comments, in whatever format you choose to use, should be sent to: -

Mr David Beck Health and Safety Executive for Northern Ireland 83 Ladas Drive Belfast BT6 9FR

(Tel: 028 9054 6871; Fax: 028 9054 6811: Textphone: 028 9054 6896

E-mail: david.beck@hseni.gov.uk)

so as to arrive not later than **noon on 31 August 2016**.

- 23. HSENI tries to make its consultation procedures as thorough and open as possible. Responses to this consultation will be kept at the office of HSENI at the above address after the close of this consultation period, where they can be inspected by members of the public or be copied to them. HSENI can only refuse to disclose information in exceptional circumstances. Before you submit your response, please read the paragraphs below on confidentiality in relation to your response to this consultation.
- 24. The Environmental Information Regulations 2004 and the Freedom of Information Act 2000 give the public rights of access to information held by a public authority, namely, HSENI in this case. These rights of access to information include information provided in response to a consultation. HSENI cannot automatically consider as confidential information supplied to it in response to a consultation. However, it does have the responsibility to decide whether any information provided by you in response to this consultation, including information about your identity, should be made public or be treated as confidential.
- 25. This means that information provided by you in response to the consultation is unlikely to be treated as confidential, except in very particular circumstances.

June 2016

Health and Safety Executive for Northern Ireland

### DIE EQUALITY SCREENING FORM

## Part 1. Policy scoping

The first stage of the screening process involves scoping the policy under consideration. The purpose of policy scoping is to help prepare the background and context and set out the aims and objectives for the policy, being screened. At this stage, scoping the policy will help identify potential constraints as well as opportunities and will help the policy maker work through the screening process on a step by step basis.

Public authorities should remember that the Section 75 statutory duties apply to internal policies (relating to people who work for the authority), as well as external policies (relating to those who are, or could be, served by the authority).

# Information about the policy

Name of the policy

Proposal relating to a revised Approved Code of Practice (ACOP) - "Safety of pressure systems - Pressure Systems Safety Regulations 2000 (L122)", drafted by the HSE, which HSENI proposes to approve for use in Northern Ireland.

Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?

Revised

What is it trying to achieve? (intended aims/outcomes)

This ACOP and guidance provides practical guidance on how to comply with the Pressure Systems Safety Regulations 2000. The equivalent legislation in Northern Ireland is the Pressure Systems Safety Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2004.

Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy?

If so, explain how.

The benefits from the ACOP and guidance will apply equally to all S75 categories and to others affected by the guidance.

Who initiated or wrote the policy?

The Health and Safety Executive in Great Britain

Who owns and who implements the policy?

Subject to approval for use in Northern Ireland the policy is owned and implemented in Northern Ireland by the Health and Safety Executive for Northern Ireland.

# Implementation factors

Are there any factors which could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/decision?

If yes, are they

	financial
	legislative
	other, please specify
Mair	n stakeholders affected
	are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) the policy will impact upon?
	staff
	service users
	other public sector organisations
	voluntary/community/trade unions
desig	other, please specify - the ACOP and guidance is principally ant to dutyholders (users, owners, competent persons, gners, manufacturers, importers, suppliers and installers of sure systems).
Othe	er policies with a bearing on this policy
• wh	at are they?
healt	Löfstedt review of health and safety legislation 'Reclaiming th and safety for all'. A UK Government independent review to e proposals for simplifying health and safety law.
• wh	o owns them?
Depa	artment for Work & Pensions

### Available evidence

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. Public authorities should ensure that their screening decision is informed by relevant data.

What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories.

Section 75	Details of evidence/information
category	
Religious	Although there is no available data the revisions to
belief	guidance apply equally beneficially to all Section 75 categories and others.
Political	As above.
opinion	
Racial group	As above.
Age	As above.
Marital status	As above.
Sexual	As above.
orientation	
Men and	As above.
women	
generally	
Disability	As above.
Dependants	As above.

# Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
category	
Religious	Although there is no available data the revisions to guidance apply equally beneficially to all Section 75
belief	categories and others.
Political	As above.
opinion	
Racial group	As above.
Age	As above.
Marital status	As above.
Sexual	As above.
orientation	
Men and	As above.
women	
generally	
Disability	As above.
Dependants	As above.

# Part 2. Screening questions

#### Introduction

In making a decision as to whether or not there is a need to carry out an equality impact assessment, the public authority should consider its answers to the questions 1-4 detailed below.

If the public authority's conclusion is <u>none</u> in respect of all of the Section 75 equality of opportunity and/or good relations categories, then the public authority may decide to screen the policy out. If a policy is 'screened out' as having no relevance to equality of opportunity or good relations, a public authority should give details of the reasons for the decision taken.

If the public authority's conclusion is <u>major</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality of opportunity and/or good relations categories, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to the equality impact assessment procedure.

If the public authority's conclusion is **minor** in respect of one or more of the Section 75 equality categories and/or good relations categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an equality impact assessment, or to:

- measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or
- the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations.

# In favour of a 'major' impact

a) The policy is significant in terms of its strategic importance;

- b) Potential equality impacts are unknown, because, for example, there is insufficient data upon which to make an assessment or because they are complex, and it would be appropriate to conduct an equality impact assessment in order to better assess them;
- c) Potential equality and/or good relations impacts are likely to be adverse or are likely to be experienced disproportionately by groups of people including those who are marginalised or disadvantaged;
- d) Further assessment offers a valuable way to examine the evidence and develop recommendations in respect of a policy about which there are concerns amongst affected individuals and representative groups, for example in respect of multiple identities;
- e) The policy is likely to be challenged by way of judicial review;
- f) The policy is significant in terms of expenditure.

# In favour of 'minor' impact

- a) The policy is not unlawfully discriminatory and any residual potential impacts on people are judged to be negligible;
- b) The policy, or certain proposals within it, are potentially unlawfully discriminatory, but this possibility can readily and easily be eliminated by making appropriate changes to the policy or by adopting appropriate mitigating measures;
- c) Any asymmetrical equality impacts caused by the policy are intentional because they are specifically designed to promote equality of opportunity for particular groups of disadvantaged people;
- d) By amending the policy there are better opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations.

### In favour of none

- a) The policy has no relevance to equality of opportunity or good relations.
- b) The policy is purely technical in nature and will have no bearing in terms of its likely impact on equality of opportunity or good relations for people within the equality and good relations categories.

Taking into account the evidence presented above, consider and comment on the likely impact on equality of opportunity and good relations for those affected by this policy, in any way, for each of the equality and good relations categories, by applying the screening questions detailed below and indicate the level of impact on the group i.e. minor, major or none.

# Screening questions

1 What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories? minor/major/none					
Section 75	Details of policy impact Level of impact?				
Category		minor/major/none			
Religious belief	Revisions to the ACOP and guidance on how to comply with the requirements of the Pressure Systems Safety Regulations 2000. It is proposed that the ACOP should be approved for use in Northern Ireland.	None. The revisions to the ACOP and guidance have no bearing on equality of opportunity.			
Political opinion	As above	As above			
Racial group	As above	As above			
Age	As above	As above			
Marital status	As above	As above			
Sexual orientation	As above	As above			
Men and women generally	As above	As above			
Disability	As above	As above			
Dependants	As above	As above			

# Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for

people within the Section 75 equalities categories?

Section 75	If <b>Yes</b> , provide details	If <b>No</b> , provide
category		reasons
Religious		The revisions to the
		ACOP and guidance
belief		will apply equally
		beneficially to all of
		the Section 75 Groups and to other
		groups and have no
		relevance to the
		promotion of equality of opportunity.
Political		As above
opinion		
Racial		As above
group		
Age		As above
Marital		As above
status		
Sexual		As above
orientation		
Men and		As above
women		
generally		
Disability		As above
Dependants		As above
	10	

<b>3</b> To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?					
Section 75	Details of policy impact	Level of impact			
category		minor/major/none			
Religious	Revisions to the ACOP and	None.			
belief	guidance on how to comply with the requirements of the Pressure Systems Safety Regulations 2000. It is proposed that the ACOP should be approved for use in Northern Ireland.	The revisions to the ACOP and guidance have no bearing on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group.			
Political	As above	As above			
opinion					
Racial	As above	As above			
group					

<b>4</b> Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?						
Good relations category	If <b>Yes</b> , provide details	If <b>No</b> , provide reasons				
Religious belief  The revisions to the ACOP and guidance will apply equally						

	beneficially to all of the Section 75 Groups and to other groups and have no relevance to the promotion of good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group.
Political	As above
opinion	
Racial	As above
group	

#### **Additional considerations**

# **Multiple identity**

Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities?

(For example; disabled minority ethnic people; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).

Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.

Although there is no available data the revisions to guidance will apply equally to all of the Section 75 Groups and adverse impact on people with multiple identities is not anticipated.

# Part 3. Screening decision

If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reasons.

The provisions of the proposed revised ACOP and guidance will apply universally and would be expected to benefit, rather than adversely impact, all of the Section 75 groups equally and to the same extent as other groups.

If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment the public authority should consider if the policy should be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced.

The provisions of the proposed revised ACOP and guidance will apply universally and would be expected to benefit, rather than adversely impact, all of the Section 75 groups equally. There are therefore no grounds for mitigation or alternative policies.

If the decision is to subject the policy to an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reasons.					

All public authorities' equality schemes must state the authority's arrangements for assessing and consulting on the likely impact of policies adopted or proposed to be adopted by the authority on the promotion of equality of opportunity. The Commission recommends screening and equality impact assessment as the tools to be utilised for such assessments. Further advice on equality impact assessment may be found in a separate Commission publication: Practical Guidance on Equality Impact Assessment.

# **Mitigation**

When the public authority concludes that the likely impact is 'minor' and an equality impact assessment is not to be conducted, the public authority may consider mitigation to lessen the severity of any equality impact, or the introduction of an alternative policy to better promote equality of opportunity or good relations.

Can the policy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations?

If so, give the **reasons** to support your decision, together with the

roposed changes/amendments or alternative policy.					

# **Timetabling and prioritising**

Factors to be considered in timetabling and prioritising policies for equality impact assessment.

If the policy has been '**screened in**' for equality impact assessment, then please answer the following questions to determine its priority for timetabling the equality impact assessment.

On a scale of 1-3, with 1 being the lowest priority and 3 being the highest, assess the policy in terms of its priority for equality impact assessment.

Priority criterion	Rating
	(1-3)
Effect on equality of opportunity and good relations	
Social need	
Effect on people's daily lives	
Relevance to a public authority's functions	

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screened in for equality impact assessment. This list of priorities will assist the public

authority in timetabling. Details of the Public Authority's Equality Impact Assessment Timetable should be included in the quarterly Screening Report.

Is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities?

If yes, please provide details

# Part 4. Monitoring

Public authorities should consider the guidance contained in the Commission's Monitoring Guidance for Use by Public Authorities (July 2007).

The Commission recommends that where the policy has been amended or an alternative policy introduced, the public authority should monitor more broadly than for adverse impact (See Benefits, P.9-10, paras 2.13 – 2.20 of the Monitoring Guidance).

Effective monitoring will help the public authority identify any future adverse impact arising from the policy which may lead the public authority to conduct an equality impact assessment, as well as help with future planning and policy development.

# Part 5. Disability Duties

Under the Disability Discrimination Act 1995 (as amended by the Disability Discrimination (Northern Ireland) Order 2006), public authorities, when exercising their functions, are required to have due regard to the need:

- to promote positive attitudes towards disabled people; and
- to encourage participation by disabled people in public life.

5.	Does this policy/legislation have any potential to contribute towards promoting positive attitudes towards disabled people or towards encouraging participation by disabled people in public life? If yes, please give brief details.

#### **ANNEX 2**

#### Name of Consultees

Action on Hearing Loss

Advice NI

**AES** 

Age NI

Age Sector Platform

Agency for the Legal Deposit Libraries

Alliance Party

Allpipe Engineering Ltd.

An Munia Tober

Archbishop of Armagh and Primate of all Ireland

Ards Business Centre Ltd.

Argyle Business Centre Ltd.

Armagh Business Centre Ltd.

Aspergers Network

Attorney General (NI)

Autism Northern Ireland

Ballymena Business Centre Ltd.

Banbridge Enterprise Centre

Bar Council

Belfast Centre for the Unemployed

Belfast City Centre Management

**Belfast Harbour Commissioners** 

Belfast Health and Social Care Trust

**Belfast Hebrew Congregation** 

Belfast Islamic Centre

**Belfast Solicitors Association** 

Bishop of Down and Connor

Board of Deputies of British Jews

BOC

Bombardier

**British Deaf Association** 

British Library - Legal Deposit Office

**Bryson House** 

BSC and Electric Ireland

Buildhealth NI

Business in the Community

Calor Gas (NI) Ltd.

Cancer Focus Northern Ireland

Cara-Friend

Carers NI

Carrickfergus Enterprise Agency Ltd.

Catholic Bishops of Northern Ireland

Causeway Enterprise Agency Ltd.

Cedar Foundation

Central Services Agency

Chartered Institute of Environmental Health NI

Chemical Business Association

Chief Constable Police Service of Northern Ireland

Children in Northern Ireland

Children's Law Centre

Chinese Chamber of Commerce

Chinese Welfare Association

Civil Law Reform Division

Civil Service Occupational Health Service

Commission for Victims and Survivors

Commissioner for Older People Northern Ireland

Committee on the Administration of Justice

**Communication Access** 

Community Foundation for Northern Ireland

Community Relations Council

Construction Employers' Federation

Construction Industry Training Board NI

Cookstown Enterprise Centre Ltd.

Co-Operation Ireland

Council for Catholic Maintained Schools

Countryside Services Ltd.

Courts and Tribunal Service

Creggan Enterprises Ltd.

**Democratic Unionist Party** 

**Disability Action** 

**District Councils** 

**Driver and Vehicle Testing Agency** 

Du Pont (UK) Industrial Ltd.

Dungannon Enterprise Centre Ltd.

East Belfast Community Development Agency

East Belfast Enterprise Park Ltd.

East Belfast Partnership Board

Eastern Group Environmental Health Committee

**Education Authority** 

**Employers For Disability NI** 

Engineering Employers' Federation NI (EEF)

**Equality Coalition** 

**Equality Commission** 

Executive Council of the Inn of Court of NI

Falls Community Council

Federation of Small Businesses

Fermanagh Enterprise Ltd.

Fire Brigades Union

Food Standards Agency Northern Ireland

Forensic Science Agency of Northern Ireland

Foyle Women's Information Network

Freight Transport Association

General Consumer Council for Northern Ireland

Gingerbread Northern Ireland

**GMB** 

Gray & Adams (Ireland) Ltd

Greater Shankill Partnership

Green Party

Harland and Wolff Heavy Industries Ltd.

Health and Safety Executive

Health and Social Care Board HQ

Heron Brothers Ltd.

**HM Council of County Court Judges** 

**HM Revenue and Customers** 

Home Retail Group

Inclusive Mobility and Transport Advisory Committee (IMTAC)

INCORE Conflict Resolutions Ltd.

**Indian Community Centre** 

**Independent Political Parties** 

Information Commissioner's Office

Institute of Directors

Institute of Directors (NI Division)

Invest NI

Irish National Teachers' Organisation (INTO)

Judge G Conner

Justice for Asbestos Victims

Kesh Development Association Charitable Trust

**Labour Party** 

Labour Relations Agency

Larne Development Forum

Law Centre (NI)

Law Society of Northern Ireland

Lonmin (NI) Ltd

Lord Chief Justice Office

Mallusk Enterprise Park

Maritime and Coastguard Agency

McAlorum Construction Ltd.

McClay Library, QUB

**MENCAP** 

Methodist Church in Ireland

Mindwise

Ministry of Defence

MPs & MEPs (NI)

Mr Sam McKane

Musicians Union

Mutual Energy Ltd.

National Collection of NI Publications

National Library of Ireland

Newry and Mourne Enterprise Agency

North Belfast Partnership

North City Business Centre Ltd.

North Down Development Organisation Ltd.

North / South Ministerial Council

North West Community Network

Northern Group

Northern Health and Social Care Trust

Northern Ireland Assembly Library

Northern Ireland Assembly Members

Northern Ireland Assembly - The Speaker

Northern Ireland Association for Mental Health

Northern Ireland Association for the Care and Resettlement of Offenders

Northern Ireland Audit Office

Northern Ireland Authority for Utility Regulation

Northern Ireland Association of Citizens Advice Bureaux

Northern Ireland Centre for Competitiveness

Northern Ireland Chamber of Commerce

Northern Ireland Chamber of Trade

Northern Ireland Commissioner for Children and Young People

Northern Ireland Committee/Irish Congress of Trade Unions

Northern Ireland Conservative Association

Northern Ireland Council for Ethnic Minorities

Northern Ireland Council for Voluntary Action

Northern Ireland Court Service

Northern Ireland Electricity

Northern Ireland Environment Link

Northern Ireland Fire and Rescue Service

Northern Ireland Gay Rights Association

Northern Ireland Housing Executive

Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission

Northern Ireland Judicial Appointments Commission

Northern Ireland Law Commission

Northern Ireland Local Government Association (NILGA)

Northern Ireland Prison Service

Northern Ireland Public Service Alliance (NIPSA)

Northern Ireland Public Service Ombudsman (NIPSO)

Northern Ireland Safety Group (NISG)

Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA)

Northern Ireland Tourist Board

Northern Ireland Women's European Platform

NSPCC, Northern Ireland Regional Office

NUS/USI

**NW Community Network** 

Occupational Health Service

Office of Industrial Tribunals

Omagh Enterprise Co. Ltd.

Ormeau Enterprises Ltd.

Participation the Practice of Rights Project

People Before Profit Alliance

Pharmaceutical Society of Northern Ireland

POBAL

Police Federation for Northern Ireland

Police Service of Northern Ireland

Presbyterian Church in Ireland

Prince's Trust

**Progressive Unionist Party** 

**Prospect** 

Quarry Products Association NI

Queen's University

Roads Service

Roman Catholic Church

Roy Coulter Consulting Ltd.

Royal College of Midwives

Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS)

Royal National Institute for the Blind (NI)

**Rural Community Network** 

Rural Development Council

St. John Ambulance NI

Scotia Gas Networks (SGN)

**SDLP** 

Seagate Technology (Ireland)

Sense NI

Services Industrial Professional Technical Union (SIPTU)

Sinn Fein

Social Security Agency

Society of Local Authority Chief Executives

South Belfast Partnership Board

South Eastern Health and Social Care Trust

South West Fermanagh Development Organisation Ltd.

Southern Group Environmental Health Committee

Southern Health and Social Care Trust

SSE Airtricity Energy Supply (NI) Ltd

Strabane Industrial Properties Ltd.

Tennants Textile Colours Ltd.

Townsend Enterprise Park Ltd.

Traditional Unionist Voice

Training for Women Network Ltd.

**Translink** 

Transport Salaried Staff Association

**UK Independence Party** 

UK National Committee of UN Women

Ulster Farmers' Union

Ulster Scots Community Network

Ulster Teachers' Union

**Ulster Unionist Party** 

Union of Construction, Allied Trades and Technicians (UCATT)

Union of Shop, Distributive and Allied Workers (USDAW)

**UNISON** (Northern Ireland)

Unite the Union

University of Ulster

Volunteer Centre

Volunteer Now

Visual Access NI (Braille, Audio and DAISY)

Water Service

West Belfast Development Trust Ltd.

West Belfast Partnership Board

Western Group Environmental Service

Western Health and Social Care Trust

Westlink Enterprise Ltd.
William Keown Trust
Women's Forum NI
Women's Information NI
Women's Resource and Development Agency
Women's Support Network
Women's Training, Enterprise and Childcare
Workers' Party
Workspace