

# THE CARRIAGE OF DANGEROUS GOODS AND USE OF TRANSPORTABLE PRESSURE EQUIPMENT REGULATIONS (NORTHERN IRELAND) 2010 S.R. 2010 No. 160

#### **Impact Assessment**

An Impact Assessment (IA) is a tool, which informs policy decisions. All NI Government Departments must comply with the impact assessment process when considering any new, or amendments to, existing policy proposals. Where regulations or alternative measures are introduced an IA should be used to make informed decisions. The IA is an assessment of the impact of policy options in terms of the costs, benefits and risks of the proposal. New regulations should only be introduced when other alternatives have been considered and rejected and where the benefits justify the costs.

The IA process is not specific to the UK Civil Service or the NI Civil Service – many countries use a similar analysis to assess their proposed regulations and large organisations appraise their investment decisions in similar ways too.

Please find enclosed a final IA in respect of the Carriage of Dangerous Goods and Use of Transportable Pressure Equipment Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2010.

Contact: John McAvoy

**HSENI** Legislation Unit

83 Ladas Drive Belfast BT6 9FR

E-mail: john.mcavoy@detini.gov.uk



# THE CARRIAGE OF DANGEROUS GOODS AND USE OF TRANSPORTABLE PRESSURE EQUIPMENT REGULATIONS (NORTHERN IRELAND) 2010

#### **NOTE ON COSTS AND BENEFITS**

#### 1. I declare that:

- (a) the purpose of the Carriage of Dangerous Goods and Use of Transportable Pressure Equipment Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2010 ("the Northern Ireland Regulations") is to introduce, for Northern Ireland, similar provisions to those contained in the Great Britain Carriage of Dangerous Goods and Use of Transportable Pressure Equipment Regulations 2009 (S.I. 2009/1348) ("the Great Britain Regulations"), and:-
- (b) I am satisfied that the costs and benefits associated with the Great Britain Regulations may be applied, with modifications, to the Northern Ireland Regulations.
- 2. An estimate of the costs and benefits associated with the Great Britain Regulations, together with the effect on the Northern Ireland costs and benefits is appended to this Note.

M. Bohill Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment 22 April 2010

# Summary: Intervention & Options Department /Agency: Department for Transport Department for Transport Umpact Assessment of The Carriage of Dangerous Goods and Use of Transportable Pressure Equipment Regulations 2009 Stage: Final Version: 2 Date: 26 November 2008 Related Publications:

#### Available to view or download at:

http://www.dft.gov.uk/pgr/freight/dgt1

Contact for enquiries: Caroline Billingham - DfT Telephone: 0207 944 5706

#### What is the problem under consideration? Why is government intervention necessary?

To introduce new requirements related to the safe carriage of dangerous goods through statutory instrument under the Health and Safety at Work Act.

The European Commission has adopted the new Directive (awaiting number) which provides amended regulations on the safe carriage of dangerous goods. The European Commission has made these changes to maintain and improve the safe carriage of dangerous goods - with benefits to industry, the public and the environment.

These regulations are reviewed and changes transposed into UK law currently every 2 years.

#### What are the policy objectives and the intended effects?

The policy objectives are to promote safety: effective regulation seeks to minimise the risks in transporting dangerous goods, ensuring these goods are packaged and carried in a way that prevents leakage and protects the population, environment and economy. Secondly, to promote a level playing field: dangerous goods are transported within the UK and internationally. It is essential each country applies broadly the same regulations to minimise the burden on industry to enable industry to transport the goods across borders in compliance with the regulations.

#### What policy options have been considered? Please justify any preferred option.

The European Commission has already adopted (with support of the UK) the Directive and the dangerous goods industry has to comply with the new regime by July 2009. The UK is therefore amending legislation accordingly to bring it in line with the new regulations. Failure to implement the regulations could result in infraction proceedings being taken against the department. We could also face potential actions in damages brought by industry, who claimed to have suffered a loss as a result of our failure not to implement.

When will the policy be reviewed to establish the actual costs and benefits and the achievement of the desired effects? The policy is constantly reviewed through working party meetings of all member states who are contracting parties to the Directive.

Ministerial Sign-off For	inal proposal/implementation stage Imp	pact Assessments:
--------------------------	--	-------------------

Signed by the responsible Minister:

I have read the Impact Assessment and I am satisfied that, given the available evidence, it represents a reasonable view of the likely costs, benefits and impact of the leading options.

Date:	

#### **Summary: Analysis & Evidence**

**Policy Option:** 

**Description: To amend UK Tranpsort of Dangerous Goods** legislation to ensure compliance with EC legislation on the carriage of dangerous goods.

	ANNUAL COSTS		Description and scale of <b>key monetised costs</b> by 'main			
	One-off (Transition)	Yrs	affected groups' The dangerous goods industry will incur a one-off cost in the region of about £600,000. This initial cost			
	£ 608k to £614k	1	offset within the fourth year of the regulations and will deliver ongoing annual cost savings of around £145,000.			
COSTS	Average Annual Cost (excluding one-off)		ongoing annual cost savings of around £1	45,000.		
	- £158k to - £133k	10	Total Cost (PV)	- £696k to - £501k		
	Other key non-monetised costs by 'main affected groups' None					

	ANNUAL BENEFITS		Description and scale of <b>key monetised benefits</b> by 'main			
	One-off	Yrs	to choose the sale carriage of dangerous goods. There are			
40	£ N/A		45 reported incidents a year involving the goods and these regulations aim to mainta			
IEFITS	Average Annual Benefit (excluding one-off)  £ N/A			, ,		
BEN	£ N/A		Total Benefit (PV)	£ N/A		

Other key non-monetised benefits by 'main affected groups'

Level playing field for industry. Direct referencing of the directive (a key industry requirement) to ensure continued international movement of dangerous goods uninhibited by european boundaries. Continued ability to operate in a global market.

Key Assumptions/Sensitivities/Risks Although regulations are currently updated every 2 years we assume that these changes will be in force for the foreseeable future and therefore the costs are reflected on a ten year cycle. If we do not comply with the directive the department will be open to infraction proceedings. There is also a risk that court proceedings for losses could be made as a result of our failure to implement.

Year 2007	Years 10	Net Benefit Range £501k to £696k	(NPV)	estimate)	EFIT (NPV Best £599k	
What is the geographic coverage of the policy/option?					Great Britain	
On what date will the policy be implemented?					July 2009	
Which organisation(s) will enforce the policy?					HSE, VOSA, Police	
What is the total annual cost of enforcement for these organisations?					No change	
Does enforcement comply with Hampton principles?					Yes	
Will implementation go beyond minimum EU requirements?					No	
What is the value of the proposed offsetting measure per year?					£ none	
What is the value of changes in greenhouse gas emissions?					£0	
Will the proposal have a significant impact on competition?			Yes, positive			
Annual cost (£ (excluding one	C-£) per organisati e-off)	on	Micro	Small	Medium	Large
Are any of the	se organisations	exempt?	No	No	N/A	N/A

**Impact on Admin Burdens Baseline** (2005 Prices) (Increase - Decrease) £0 Increase of Decrease of £0 **Net Impact** 

> Annual costs and benefits: Constant Prices (Net) Present Value Key:

#### **Evidence Base (for summary sheets)**

[Use this space (with a recommended maximum of 30 pages) to set out the evidence, analysis and detailed narrative from which you have generated your policy options or proposal. Ensure that the information is organised in such a way as to explain clearly the summary information on the preceding pages of this form.]

#### Introduction

The United Kingdom is required to transpose the European Directive relating to the land carriage of dangerous goods. These regulations maintain and improve safety to the benefit of human health and the environment. They also ensure there is a level playing field across European transport markets, promoting competition in the provision of transport services, to the benefit of users. The carriage of dangerous goods by other modes is being transposed separately.

We are also required to transpose the Transportable Pressure Equipment Directive which governs the placing on the market and use of such equipment. The UK intends to transpose both of these directives by virtue of one statutory instrument – "The Carriage of Dangerous Goods and Use of Transportable Pressure Equipment Regulations 2009 (CDG 2009).

#### **Background**

By virtue of the Dangerous Goods Directive, the UK is required to implement the requirements of RID (Rail) and ADR (Road) for domestic as well as for international carriage of dangerous goods by rail and road. RID and ADR are annexes to the Directive. ADN (in relation to inland waterways) is also an annex but CDG does not, as is permitted by the directive, implement any part of ADN except that part which relates to the granting of safety advisor qualifications. RID and ADR are updated every 2 years (a new edition of each comes into force on 1<sup>st</sup> January 2009). RID and ADR are currently implemented through CDG 2007 (which implemented the 2007 edition).

The Directive is the latest in a succession of directives applying RID and ADR primarily to take into account technical progress and ensure the continued safe and secure transport of dangerous goods.

To simplify the legislation, the Commission has decided to consolidate 5 Directives into one. It is this Directive that we are required to implement into our domestic legislation.

#### Preparation of the IA

The IA is at the Final stage and the estimated costs are based on DfT statistics and preconsultation evidence received from industry for certain changes brought by the new regulations. We received 25 responses to the consultation, 79% thought the impact assessement assessed the relevant costs and benefits well or adequately.

We have not received any adverse comments on the costings given in the impact assessment, therefore we view it as a true reflection of the costs to industry.

#### **Options**

There are no options other than to transpose the Directives into UK regulations. As the regulations have already been adopted by the European Commission industry will have to comply with the new regime from July 2009. The UK is therefore amending legislation accordingly to bring it in line with the new regulations. Not to do so would introduce confusion to industry undertaking international journeys and could have a detrimental effect on safety.

The current regulations CDG 2007 consolidated the Carriage regulations which separately covered different classes of dangerous goods. This resulted in one set of regulations covering all classes of dangerous goods. In line with industry wishes, we also made more use of referencing the technical annexes of RID and ADR.

In creating the 2007 regulations we took a staged approach by introducing one set of regulations for UK which allowed time for stability in the regulations. Through pre consultation and stakeholder feedback, the proposed 2009 regulations aim to go further by now directly referencing the European Agreements RID and ADR. This will enable GB to produce domestic legislation that does not require transposition of the Directives every 2 years. This will then enable the freedom of amending technical requirements with Industry engagement through administrative processes.

#### **Analysis of Impacts**

#### **Groups and Sectors affected**

The sectors affected by the change related to the carriage of DG (dangerous goods) are:

Carriers (Road, Rail)
Consignors
Loaders
Fillers
Inspection Bodies
Tank & Packaging Manufacturers

The main industries impacted on by the proposed changes are:

Road Hauliers
Rail Carriers
Packaging Industry
Chemical
Paints
Gases
Nuclear
Explosives

Petrol/Diesel

These regulations apply to the whole of Great Britain.

## Summary of main costs and benefits taken into account in the impact assessment for the carriage of dangerous goods regulations 2009

The UK consults industry on each proposal for change as they are debated by technical experts in the relevant international forums. Some of the changes have been proposed by industry in the first instance.

#### Costs

Not all changes have been costed as they are relatively minor, but costs for all changes will be provided during the formal consultation. The costs identified here are those that industry considers will have the main impact and are based on their estimates. Although regulations are currently updated every 2 years we assume that these changes will be in force for the foreseeable future and therefore the costs are reflected on a 10 year cycle.

The main costs, which are industry-wide (i.e. they will not be borne by individual businesses) are in relation to:

- Marking and labelling for environmentally hazardous substances £600k oneoff cost;
- Requirements to fill to more than 80% (or less than 20%), road tank vehicles intended to carry liquids and liquefied gases which are not divided by partitions or surge plates of not more than 7500 litre capacity to prevent undue movement of the liquids when it is being transported - £57k per annum;
- New labels for chlorine, which has been reclassified to reflect its oxidising nature
   £30k per annum;
- New test requirements for all intermediate bulk containers (IBCs) intended to carry liquids and manufactured after 31 December 2010, and new marking requirements to show maximum permitted stacking loads - £15k per annum;
- New packing instructions and portable tank provisions for chlorosilanes £6k -£12k one-off cost; and
- New packing instructions for bromine £2k one-off cost.

#### Cost savings

The regulations also introduce changes which will deliver cost savings for industry. The main ones are as follows:

- New definitions and restructured regulations for mobile explosives manufacturing units, which carry various dangerous goods on the road to enable explosives to be produced on-site - £25k - £50k savings per annum; and
- New model instructions in writing with a standardised format and harmonised content and requirement that they no longer need to be in the language of each of the countries of origin, transit and destination, but only in the language of the driver and vehicle crew - £210k savings per annum.

#### Impact on small firms

The majority of changes reflected in the draft 2009 regulations are clarifications and relaxations. These regulations will continue the provision for exemptions from the full scope of the regulations through limited quantity thresholds, which are of greater proportionate benefit to small firms. The additional higher-impact changes, will mainly affect large businesses. The higher cost-impact changes are likely to have little or no significant additional impact on SMEs.

#### **Benefits**

We have not costed benefits, as opposed to cost-savings, but there are benefits which will reduce the burden on industry, and which they have called for, as follows:

- We have opted for far greater direct referencing of the Directive. This has been high on industry's priorities to simplify the number of texts and cross-referencing they have to refer to in considering the regulatory requirements;
- Regulations which do not require us to transpose the Directive every two years after 2009. This will deliver policy and legal resource savings in the Department, but importantly will deliver resource benefits in industry as they will only have to become familiar with one new regulatory text (the Directive).

#### Carbon assessment and other environmental impacts

There is no change in transport fuel consumption as a result of these regulations. The regulations maintain and improve safe transport, including safety to the environment.

#### **Competition assessment**

In 2007 there were an estimated 53 000 international journeys undertaken by GB operators carrying dangerous goods. Foreign hauliers also transport dangerous goods within the UK. These regulations help to ensure there is a level playing field across European transport markets, promoting competition in the provision of transport services to the benefit of users.

### **Specific Impact Tests: Checklist**

Use the table below to demonstrate how broadly you have considered the potential impacts of your policy options.

Ensure that the results of any tests that impact on the cost-benefit analysis are contained within the main evidence base; other results may be annexed.

Type of testing undertaken	Results in Evidence Base?	Results annexed?	
Competition Assessment	Yes	No	
Small Firms Impact Test	Yes	No	
Legal Aid	No	No	
Sustainable Development	No	No	
Carbon Assessment	Yes	No	
Other Environment	Yes	No	
Health Impact Assessment	No	No	
Race Equality	No	No	
Disability Equality	No	No	
Gender Equality	No	No	
Human Rights	No	No	
Rural Proofing	No	No	

#### PART II

#### NORTHERN IRELAND COSTS AND BENEFITS

## The Carriage of Dangerous Goods and Use of Transportable Pressure Equipment Regulations (Northern Ireland) 2010

- 1. In Great Britain, it was concluded that the dangerous goods industry will incur an initial one-off cost in the region of £600k. It also concluded that the changes introduced by these Regulations would result in cost savings to industry in the region of £210k per annum.
- 2. The analysis and considerations set out in the GB Impact Assessment can be applied directly to Northern Ireland and on a proportionate basis the costs to Northern Ireland industry are estimated to be as follows:

One-off cost: £15k

Estimated savings are expected to be £5.25k per annum.

3. Overall it is considered that there will be no significant impact on NI business.